

January 27, 1994 LB 28, 254, 322, 663, 929, 943, 1059, 1060
1061

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 512 of the Legislative Journal.) 8 ayes, 34 nays.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chambers amendment is defeated. Items for the record. The call is raised.

CLERK: Madam President, before the next amendment, Banking Committee, Executive Session, Senate Lounge, 11:00 a.m. Transportation Committee reports LB 943 to General File; LB 1059, General File; LB 929, General File with amendments; LB 1060 and LB 1061, General File with amendments. That is signed by Senator Kristensen. Hearing notice from Transportation Committee. I have a gubernatorial appointment. A series of amendments, Senator Moore to LB 322; Senator Moore to LB 663; Senator Bernard-Stevens to LB 28. And I have a hearing notice from the Revenue Committee. (See pages 513-17 of the Legislative Journal.)

Madam President, Senator Chambers would move to amend the bill. (Read FA328 found on page 517 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to open on the amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, this is such a serious issue we need to stay on it for awhile and we are going to. I'd like to ask Senator Bromm a question to begin with. Senator Bromm, will you support this amendment? It strikes the word "adjacent". Then we eliminate the possibility of any person thinking that by merely reaching the boundary of any county they are exempt from arrest. So...

SENATOR BROMM: Did you have a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I am not sure, what was your answer?

SENATOR BROMM: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why are you opposed to this amendment?

SENATOR BROMM: Generally speaking, I think that law enforcement officers are relatively familiar with territory in which their jurisdiction is. Now most of the people in my area are familiar with Butler County, Dodge County, the edge, Douglas, Cass to the